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# Introduction

## Purpose and scope

The purpose of this document is to estimate the overall size and the costs of the PowerEnJoy project, and to find how best to organize the work of all the personnel.

To do this we will use the constructive cost model (COCOMO), so we need to estimate first the Function points, then we will can estimate the Source Lines of Code.

After the size estimation we will compute the scale factors, the cost drivers and the effort multipliers to apply the formula to calculate the effort estimation.

So we will distribute the effort through the development process activities, and we will assign the different tasks to our development team.

In the last part we are going to do the risk plan, so we are going to identify the possible risks by recognizing what can go wrong during the development process, and we plan a way to avoid them as much as possible.

## Definitions, Acronyms and Abbreviations

RASD: Requirements and Specifications Document

DD: Design Document

COCOMO: Constructive Cost Model

FP: Function Points

UFP: Unadjusted Function Points

ILF: Internal Logical File

EIF: External Interface File  
  
EO: External Output  
  
EI: External Input  
  
EQ: External Inquiries

(K)SLOC: (Kilo) Source Lines Of Code

EM: Effort Multiplier

## Reference Documents

COCOMO II Model Definition Manual

# Project size, cost and effort estimation

This chapter is devoted to the estimation of the overall size, cost and effort required to develop the project.

To apply the constructive cost model, we must initially determine whether we are in the case of post-architecture or early design. We have to design from scratch the architecture for our project by exploring different architectural alternatives, so we can affirm that we are in early design case.

Now we will estimate the size through the UFP computation, then we will compute Scale Factors, Cost Drivers and Effort Multipliers. In the end we can compute the effort with the COCOMO Formula, and we can estimate a schedule.

## Size estimation: Function points

The function point cost estimation approach is based on the amount of functionality in a software project and a set of individual project factors.

The following table is taken from COCOMO II Model Definition Manual and explains how the FP will be estimated.

**Table 1**: User Function Types

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| External Input (Inputs) | Count each unique user data or user control input type that enters the external boundary of the software system being measured and adds or changes data in a logical internal file. |
| External Output (Outputs) | Count each unique user data or control output type that leaves the external boundary of the software system being measured. |
| Internal Logical File (Files) | Count each major logical group of user data or control information in the software system as a logical internal file type. Include each logical file (e.g., each logical group of data) that is generated, used, or maintained by the software system. |
| External Interface Files (Interfaces) | Files passed or shared between software systems should be counted as external interface file types within each system. |
| External Inquiry (Queries) | Count each unique input-output combination, where an input causes and generates an immediate output, as an external inquiry type. |

**Table 2:** Weight table

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Function Type** | **Complexity-Weight** | | |
| **Low** | **Average** | **High** |
| Internal Logical Files | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| External Interfaces Files | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| External Inputs | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| External Outputs | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| External Inquiries | 3 | 4 | 6 |

### Internal Logical Files (ILFs)

The PowerEnJoy project will require functionalities that includes logical group of data that is generated and used from the software.

Therefore, we can identify these ILFs:

**- Users Data**: is stored for each user registration, and will be used every time you perform a login and each time there will be a request from the user. User data that the system will have to keep in memory are many and will be used in different components. Therefore, we can set the weight as **average**.

**- Technicians Data:** some of the technicians’ data are stored, especially to recognize them when they log into the system, these data are few and we can set the weight as **low.**

**- Payment Information Data:** the payment information are simple data, consisting only of a few codes that allow us to retrieve the customer's account which will send to the external payment system. We can set its weight as **low**.

**- Reservations Data:** the system must keep track of the reservations that will be deleted as soon as terminate, also in this case the data to be stored will be few and we consider it as a **low** weight.

**- Ride Data:** the system must keep track of the rides, there aren't many attributes to keep stored, so we consider also this as a **low** weight internal logical file.

**- Vehicles Data:** the system has to keep stored all the data of all the vehicles of PowerEnJoy. These data are more complex because you have to track of the status and the location of each vehicle, besides to the license plate number and other identification data. So we consider this an **average** weight.

**- Safe Area Data:** this information consists of only one position and a radius representing the safe area. So it has a **low** weight.

**- Charging Station Data:** also the charging station data are simple, because for each charging station are stored only a position and a number of vehicles that it can contain.

So we set the weight as **low**.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ILF** | **Complexity** | **FPs** |
| Users Data  Technicians Data  Payment Information Data  Reservation Data  Ride Data  Vehicles Data  Safe Area  Charging Station | Average  Low  Low  Low  Low  Average  Low  Low | 10  7  7  7  7  10  7  7 |
| Total | | 62 |

### External Interface Files (EIFs)

We have on have only two external data source that are Vehicle System Communication and the Map Service.

**-Payment Service:** for paying the services, PowerEnJoy clients have to communicate their payment method that will be stored in the PowerEnJoy database at the time of registration. The payments data are used by PowerEnJoy service, but are generated and maintained by the External Payment Service. This Function point can be considered as a **low** weight function point, because it treats simple and poorly structured information.

**-Map Service:** there is an interaction between our system and a mapping service system. We need to get the coordinates of a given address, and to get and address from the coordinates. The mapping service is also used to retrieve the graphical representation of the city map. The quantity of data exchanged is significantly high, so we can consider the complexity of this function points as **average**.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **EIF** | **Complexity** | **FPs** |
| Payment Service  Map Service | Low  Average | 5  7 |
| Total | | 12 |

### External Inputs (EIs)

We have identified six External Inputs operations that elaborates data from the external environment.

These are:

**-Login operation:** consists only in reading from database the data inserted by the user in his browser page, it is a simple procedure, so we set his weight to low.

**-Registration operation:**

**-Unlocks/lock car operation:**

**-Change vehicle state operation:**

**-Reserve vehicle operation:**

**-Receive information from the vehicle:**

### External Inquiries (EQs)

### External Outputs (EOs)

### Overall Estimation

## COCOMO II: Cost and Effort Estimation

### Scale Factors

### Cost Drivers

**Personnel Capability**: the early design PERS cost driver combines the post architecture cost drivers analyst capability (ACAP), programmer capability (PCAP), and personnel continuity (PCON).

**ACAP** is set to **nominal** because we don’t have a high ability in design and analysis, but our level of communication and cooperation is very high.

**PCAP** is also set to **nominal** because our programmers ability is not very high, but as mentioned above our level of communication and cooperation compensates the lack of ability.

**PCON** is set to **very high** because there isn’t any type of turnover.

**3+3+5=11 -> high -> 0,83**

**Product Reliability and Complexity**: the early design RCPX cost driver combines the post architecture cost drivers required software reliability (RELY), database size (DATA), product complexity (CPLX), and documentation match to life-cycle needs (DOCU).

**RELY**: if there is a failure in the system, there is only a moderate financial loss, so we can assume this cost driver set as **nominal**.

**DATA**: we have estimated the size of the database around 150 MB, so calculating the D/P ratio the result is greater than 1000 therefore we can set the DATA to **very high.**





**CPLX:**  in our case we set control operations at nominal, computational operations at very low, device-dependent operations at low, data management operations at low, user interface management at low.

Therefore, we estimated the overall cost driver CPLX at **low.**

**DOCU:** we set this driver at **high** because we believe that a good documentation can avoid extra costs during the maintenance portion of the life-cycle, and so we provide a detailed documentation.

3+5+2+4=14 -> high -> 1,33

**Required Reusability:** this cost driver accounts for the additional effort needed to construct components for reuse on current or future projects.

We set this driver at **nominal** because we limited the reusability across this project.

Nominal->1

**Platform Difficulty:**
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